

FAVORABLE COMMENTS

Liked what I saw cuz we are still in the boundaries for the schools we consider our home schools

It makes sense but I have more questions

I like the proposal #1 MUCH more than the springboard.

I think it's good for what they've done. The proposed map keeps my children at Atlas Elementary where we are established and keeps nexa and atlas attendance at a good level I feel. Especially with Ramsey magnet overlay and many more houses being built around Nexa and Altas.

I like what the boundary committee has come up with, actually I love it. I am very happy with the new proposal and hope it sticks!

I think it makes sense and is a logical way to address our growing community. It's important to balance economic classes as well in each school and I feel this has been addressed.

i think it will be good for the community

It actually puts us at a Middle school closer to our address

I'm very happy with the middle school and high school my children are proposed for considering they are both less than a mile for my house. My son is now in 6th grade and just left Ramsey where he spend his entire elementary career. My daughter will be in 5th grade next year, she has also been at Ramsey since she was in Kindergarten. I think it's only fair for her to finish there for both her academic, emotional and social well-being. When we moved into our house seven years ago Ramsey was our home school.

the proposal seems reasonable

I find the proposal to make sense in terms of both boundaries and statistics. It seems to even out the populations. I like that the middle and high school boundaries do not seem drastically different and that elementary to high school students will transition with each other. I like the overlay plan for the magnet schools. I do find that some boundary changes will rezone people away from a school located very close to them.

we feel that the new boundary map is much more effective at providing safe walking and biking distances to the elementary schools. this should be a top priority in evaluating school boundaries.

I appreciate being put "back in" the Dalton zone. We bought our home based on the proximity to our home schools so our children could attend, without the need of a bus and the overall community. We live in Hoffman Hills (Mount Carrol and Hoffman) and a change of the zones would cause us to have to relocate in order to do what is best for our family. We chose this location due to the close proximity to all the schools the should be attending.

The new zoning makes much more sense that the current one.

I really like this proposal.

No other proposals make any sense. This is the one and only proposal that works.

I like it. It evens out the communities and has major streets as boundaries.

Pleased that we are zoned back into Dalton Elementary School which is 0.5 miles walking distance from our home and less than 0.25 miles from Canfield, which we've been re-zoned back into with the first proposal.

I am thrilled with the current boundaries (1/21/2020). We are 2 blocks from Dalton and 3-4 blocks from Canfield and CHS. In the Springboard proposal, we were excluded from the schools that we literally walk to every morning. This shocked me because we are so close. Please keep the Trinity Estates/Canfield Corners neighborhoods in the Dalton/Canfield boundary. The 3/4 mile radius includes us, though I was told that it would be a mile and a half radius. I have had 1 child go to Dalton and Canfield and is currently at CHS. I have another child currently at Dalton and 1 more going into Kindergarten next yr. I will continue to keep watching.

This proposal seems to be a step in the right direction with trying to balance school capacities. I am concerned about schools that were under capacity or at and are now going to be over with schools nearby that will be under. For example, with these proposed changes Bryan and Borah are elementary schools that are close to each other. Both are currently at capacity, but with the changes Borah remains at capacity and Bryan is now well under capacity and is projected to stay under for the next 10 years. The same can be said for the middle schools, Canfield's capacity seems to decrease over the next 10 years while Lakes and Woodland are projected to increase. Hayden Meadows Elementary will over capacity and Dalton Elementary will be under. These are boundaries I would hope continue to be adjusted to find a good balance in schools that are close to each other.

The middle school approach makes sense at this time. The elem. schools seem to be divided as fairly as they can be divided at this time.

I would love it if the Landings Park stayed zoned for Atlas Elementary. I really like this proposal.

I am a teacher at Borah, I like the new map but I am hoping boundary will also include the area down to Best Ave. It only makes since the those children next to Best would be going to Borah. We need to have segment of our clientele should be middle class.

We're on troy street and really grateful our daughter would stay at Dalton, Borah would not be walk-able or bikeable. We bought our home in the district as we needed a smaller school that was able to accommodate her.

I'm glad to see more affluent neighborhoods in the new map that you're considering to aid Borah. Borah has been the lowest socio-economic elementary school for 25 years since the last boundary change, which bussed their most affluent neighborhood to Bryan. That started the school's downward spiral that it could never recover from. Adding more affluent neighborhoods will help to level the 'playing field'.

I think it is an improvement over the previous boundaries

In favor of proposal

I'm in favor of the updated proposal as it relates to my home location, what I deem to be our neighborhood school (despite its Magnet status) and I am in agreement with the updated boundary lines established for high school. I appreciate the desire to create somewhat closer attendance "zones" that seem more in line with location and neighborhoods and I'm thrilled about the adjusted high school zoning for me.

I'm ok with it

Very happy! My proposed school is closer and makes more sense

I agree with it

Looks like you are trying to do the best you can...

Pretty good, but needs some tweaks

I think it's smart to have Borah, Dalton, and Bryan schools zoned for Canfield. We are just down the street from Canfield on Highwood.

Looks like you are doing your best to keep neighborhood schools. Tough when some schools sit close to boundaries.

I think it made a lot of sense, nice work!

So far, I like it

Makes more sense. We live much closer to LCHS so it always seemed odd that we were zoned for CHS.

I prefer the new proposal of everyone left of 95 goes to Woodland and right side is Canfield. That seems as though it will help disperse the middle schoolers better.

Very happy with the newest proposal that continues to include our neighborhood in the Dalton Elementary & Canfield Middle School boundary. Our children only know Dalton as their "home school". We are less than 1.5 miles from Dalton and cannot imagine not being able to walk/ride bikes to school.

Atlas is right down the road and a correct choice

It seems to make logical sense

Next year I will only have one child remaining in the district. I am thrilled with the proposed new high school boundaries, as we have planned for our daughter to attend Lake City despite living in the CHS zone. My older daughter has attended LC as a transfer for the last four years, partly because of its proximity to our house. Thank you for creating a boundary for the high schools that actually makes sense. With young drivers, I appreciate being able to send my child to the nearest school and not having to cross highway 95 to get there.

We are very thrilled to see the possibility of our daughter being able to walk to school instead of being

bussed across town. She is super excited to be close to home again if the proposal is accepted.

I like the change.

I am not sure if I was supposed to put CDA High as my home school above. We are in the Lake City District now. We live in midtown. I love the new high school boundary proposal. It makes perfect sense to divide east to west. Easy for buses, parents, students to drive from downtown North up 4th to CDA High. No crossing of 95 is a plus and safe. I so hope it sticks.

Doesn't change for my kids so it's good

I like the clear cut division of the boundaries. They make much more sense than the previous boundaries. In the current zone, we live close enough to LCHS that we can hear football games, but my kids would have to be bussed past LCHS to get to CHS across town. New boundaries seem fair, sensical, and straight forward.

I appreciate the fact they are taking into consideration our thoughts.

I think this is the best springboard/proposal yet.

I think the proposal for the Hayden Meadows district is fair and I really like it. There was talk that the Forest Hills neighbor might be put in the Dalton Garden district which I definitely would NOT approve of.

My children NEED PBL! I am so far remaining in Nexa. Thankfully!

The HS boundaries are what effect our family most so we are pleased with that proposal.

I think it was better than the springboard proposal. Obviously there are a ton of factors to consider but one that concerns me personally with respect to Atlas - NExA transfers is the neighborhood immediately north of Prairie. It's located close to NExA but transportation there will be more difficult with the medians they are putting in and walking there would be unsafe. I was glad to see that neighborhood shifted back to Atlas with the most recent proposal and think it should stay there for that reason alone.

Very well thought out. Lines make sense in the first propasal

Generally it makes sense. I don't love be rezones for middle school but I understand the need

I thought the preliminary plan looked great. I appreciate how you are trying to keep families at the schools that are in the same neighborhood where they live. It seems that if the school is in walking distance, then the kids should go to that school.

The proposed plans looks very good. Like how the neighborhoods aren't very broken up so kids that live close can attend school together.

I like what they have proposed! We are only about 1 mile away from Canfield and it puts us back in it's zone! Years ago they had changed us to Lakes and that school is twice the distance away. Glad we are back at Canfield!

It's perfect for where we live. Our backyard shares a fence with skyway Elementary. We are also so close to Woodland and Lake City high.

My school stayed the same so I'm happy.

Completely happy with the new boundaries. Looks great!

I think it is well thought out

I love the Middle school zoning especially for Woodland.

Absolutely love this proposal!

I like the new zones. Our zone switched from CHS to LCHS but it makes sense since LCHS is closer to us.

I like how it looked. My daughter attends Ramsey already which is why I chose that as her home school. Per the map she would attend Borah, we live at the north end of the zone for borah and are not in a transportation zone, I do not want my child attending borah for other reasons but thinking she can walk down honey suckle then across best over a mile is not ok. I very much agree with keeping Ramsey and sorenson off the map and with the current zoning in place for the magnets.

I like the proposal #1 boundaries. I think the proposed boundaries are the best way to handle all of the new growth in the student population west of 95. In comparison, east of 95 there are not many areas left for new tracts of houses or apartments.

I am really pleased with this new proposal. My son will finally be able to go to Woodland middle school right down the street from us.

Reasonable. I will fight to the end to maintain my son's attendance at NeXA and where applicable to expand the program!

At the moment we are relieved that our children/our assigned schools are not affected/changed.

Looked good. None of our "home" schools changed, so no complaints there. Also, some of the other adjustments seemed to make sense, but hard to provide great input not knowing other neighborhoods and schools well.

I'm very happy with it. I hope it sticks.

I appreciate the fact that each elementary school looks like it utilizes the idea of walk ability.

The boundaries seem logical and look like they would help with potential overcrowding of some schools

I do like how the homes on the west side of 95 are now going to the schools on the west side.

It looks good. I think the proposed changes make sense because it seems simpler and in general looks like most people are going to their closest schools. (especially for the high schools)

I like the way that the major roads were used to make boundaries

We like it. Our child currently goes to Fernan and will go to Lakes. With the new boundary, he will go to CDA HS instead of Lake City. CDA is way closer to us so we won't have to drive across town. The new plan makes sense geographically.

UNFAVORABLE COMMENTS / NEEDS WORK / HAVE CONCERNS

Confusing

Leave the schools alone. You are going to upset many youngsters and their comforts and love for the school that are already used to and have adjusted to. You are messing with the friend groups and teachers and staff they have comfort in.

I don't like it. We use to be considered Ramsey and my daughter has been there since Kindergarten and I would hate to have her be transferred her last 2 years.

Disappointed. Nexa proposes a different way of learning, not the traditional public school. I am not looking for that for my children. I would not opt to go there. We are closer to Dalton and/or Skyway vs where we are at now. I would think the new proposal would look at those schools first and keep NeXa as a choice/charter like school. Or magnet school.

I am disheartened to see many neighborhoods chopped up in the new proposed plan. Neighborhoods and school proximity build community- regardless of socio economics/ etc. Keep kids in schools with their neighborhood peers and families. The consistency of seeing the same kids from your neighborhoods coming and going also ensures safety amongst us. Children should be within walking distance to friends homes FROM SCHOOL! Please consider keeping schools within a 1 mile radius of their nearest school.

Disappointed. I wrote my response already but didn't mark the correct school that would work for our location correctly. I accidentally checked NEXA.

Not particularly happy with the restructuring of the middle school boundaries. The MS my child currently attends is within walking distance from our house and now my daughter would have to go to school clear across town. I've never been happy with the elementary school option but it stays the same for us. My MS daughter is active in sports and activities so it would make it difficult for her to participate when both her parents work full time jobs. My daughter would not be able to participate in sports because she could not walk home after if needed so that does not feel fair or equitable to me. We currently live right behind the forest service nursery so now she can walk to and from if needed. She goes to school with a lot of other children who live in an apartment complex off of player drive which would put them in the same position and I know a lot of them are lower income so I think you would be putting some children at a disadvantage to participate in extracurricular activities.

a little concerning

I was not happy

I was a little confused

It's frustrating that my child can currently walk or ride her bike to our home school, but you are proposing one that will require her to take a bus or be driven. I don't think it makes any logical sense.

I feel I am 2 blocks from Borah and should not be attending Bryan as it does not make sense for my child or where we live.

Skyway and NEXA's boundary of Councelles is odd as some house that are across the street from Skyway are being routed to NEXA.

walkability to Borah needs to be improved. If SES cannot improve in the school with high percentages, then something else needs to be done to help those schools with the issues that go along with those students. Smaller class sizes, more support staff, additional training for staff, etc.

I am not impressed. We have very recently built a house and a large factor in where we built our house was the school district we built in. To find out a few months later that the house is no longer in the school district we wanted is very upsetting. We want to live in the same community as the school our children will attend.

We live just south of Thomas Ln and this new boundary will take my two children out of Canfield which is within walking distance and put them into Lakes which is definitely NOT walking distance. My wife and I both work full time and our children's ability to walk to middle school and high school is very important to us. This latest proposal would be VERY inconvenient for our family.

I believe that if a school has a "walking distance" of 1.5 miles, the school's zone should encompass that area and not cut off before it.

Not happy about the prospect of my 5th grader being bussed to Lakes next year when we can easily walk to Woodland.

Proposal one does NOTHING to address the current disproportionate amount of lower SES children attending magnet schools. Aren't we doing all of this to CHANGE things? Magnet schools need to drop the application process (which is due in March) and adopt an actual attendance zone. OR, they need to take a percentage (at least 35%) of lower SES children before going to their lottery. Requiring both magnet schools to HAVE a similar percentage of lower SES children will level out the playing field for other surrounding schools

We live on Blalock Drive in Fairway Forest, just off Kathleen and less than a mile from Woodland, which we consider our neighborhood school. My daughter is attending Woodland now, in the 6th grade. She walks to school on the trail that is next to our home and there is a crossing light at Kathleen. With the first proposal, kids in this neighborhood would have to go to Lakes, which is more than 4 miles away and a long bus ride.

YOU NEED TO ZONE FOR WALKABILITY!!!

It appears that in the attempts to create a more fair and even set of school districts, that a lot of the new

zone lines do not take into account the matter of actual physical distance. Being shunted to a different school several miles away when we have one maybe a block or two away seems a bit silly. A good 2-3 mile radius around the school should probably be appropriate to accomodate those parents who bought real estate with one of the primary motivators being the close proximity to an ideal school.

We live in the north part of the current Bryan zone by Canfield. I am not impressed with the current proposal. We (along with multiple other families in our current north zone) bought our house in an established neighborhood/part of town with the intention of our kids going to Bryan or Dalton, Canfield, and then CHS. The new proposal sends us to Borah. We have MANY MANY concerns about Borah and would have to consider the very high likelihood of homeschooling if this current zone proposal were to stick. There are families that our kids have grown up with that live two blocks over across Thomas Lane. Our kids are currently in the same class at Bryan. The zone division of Thomas Lane, splits up the kids that have all grown up together. In trying to cause the least amount of disruption to current families, please do not split up our zone. We do not live close enough for our kids to walk to any particular elementary school and we are right next to Canfield. I have gone to multiple school meetings regarding this, and we have been told that this current proposal does not change the numbers at Bryan and Borah, yet it moves kids to different schools. Please keep our current north Bryan zone together and consider keeping them at Bryan or rezoning to Dalton, keeping Canfield as the zoned middle school, and then CHS for their zoned high school.

Why haven't you addressed the Sorensen zone? Until you address that I see no need for rezoning the downtown schools. We are forced to look into paying over 7K for two kids to attend elementary school! I am not the only family to do so. I understand that this isn't what you intended to do, but in reality that is what you are forcing families to do. This proposal doesn't address the disproportionate amount of lower SES kids in magnet schools.

The middle school boundaries need more work. If you were to move Lake's up to Lunceford and Canfield north of Hayden, West of HWY 95, I think this would help decrease the capacity in Woodland and help even it out more.

Based on the current proposal I am unsure if the Proposal will keep us within our current zone for Dalton Elementary. As a family of 4, we recently purchased our home based on the value of our children being able to walk to and from school. The changes to Borah would result in not being able to walk my children to and from school, which was a large part of why we chose our location. As a parent, I plan on being fully involved in my children's school career and would lose the ability to an extent based on the socio-economic status of a lot of families in the Borah Attendance zone. I feel as a parent I should have a right to say where my child attends school, especially if there are more structured benefits available to them. With the zone changes, we will not only be less available due to location, but also more concerned about the quality of education they will be receiving. As a person, it's important to set boundaries, especially for our sweet children, but certainly not when it comes to their education. I hope enough parents' will allow their voices to be heard and make the change we need.

I have a strong objection. We live North of Thomas Lane and East of 15th. We have been at Bryan and now are slated to go to Borah. We would propose to either leave our neighborhood at Bryan Elementary, otherwise if we must be re-zoned please bring us up to Dalton which is closer and a much safer walk or drive. We would lose bus transportation with a switch to either Borah or Dalton (the two closest schools), which means walkability and safety become VERY important. Further, we invested in building our home where we did because of the high ratings of Bryan Elementary, Canfield Middle School and CDA High.

My children currently bus to Bryan. With the most recent proposal, it appears to split the current NE community that buses to Bryan in half. This effectively splits our community. It is my understanding this proposal disrupts >150 current Bryan students. This is a significant percentage of disruption to Bryan. Is this the same for other schools or is our area being burdened with a large percentage of the disruption? Unfortunately, there are no elementary schools within walking distance of my neighborhood. If we are to continue to bus, I prefer the least amount of disruption to our kids. I encourage the committee to continue to work on solutions that keep the 'shuffling' and disruptions to our children at a minimum.

I think that, with a lack of overlap, all the area within a school's walk zone should be attributed to that school. The Borah Elementary zone should not be as fragmented as there is area in the Dalton and Fernan zones that could be traded that will be closer to either schools. The Atlas zone between Honeysuckle and Prairie and west of Raspberry should be swapped with the area just north so that the children who live across the street don't have to walk/bike/drive all the way to the other school. The Canfield and Lakes Middle School zones should not be so consuming of each others zones and there could be land from either traded.

I know that they are trying to look into the future but sometime the juice isn't worth the squeeze and in this case 10% change isn't the answer right now.

I don't understand why Canfield Estates is not part of Dalton school. It makes it hard as a parent to let our child ride their bike or walk to Borah. When we live less than a mile from Dalton.

Address finder worked well. Very difficult to follow current plan including committees decision making criteria. Flow data slides are overly complex and confusing.

where we currently live is less than a mile from our house, if my kids were to go to the school zoned in the proposed boundaries, it would be over a two miles to get to their school, this is for elementary as well as middle school. two of the schools they were supposed to go to are closer than the proposed ones.

It is a difficult job you all have and you will not be able to please everybody and I understand you have to do what is good for most. The part that I am unhappy with is that we are in such close proximity to Woodland, but the current proposal puts us at Lakes. Our kids have trails they could take to walk/ride their bikes to Woodland. Lakes is all the way across town and does not seem like a reasonable choice. With the current proposal, half the kids in the Ramsey overlay would go to Woodland and the other half to Lakes. This pulls them away from their friends and people they are familiar with, for some of the most difficulty of their school years. Then, three years later, you are going to pull them away from the new friends they make and put them back in with another new set of kids. The Ramsey overlay area South of Kathleen should be zoned for Woodland and Lake City for the close proximity to the schools and for the social well-being of the children. I do understand that the middle schools are over capacity, but we must come to a better long-term solution until an additional middle school is built.

It does not meet many of the committees's guiding principles. It displaces many children for little gain. It does not maintain neighborhood elementary or middle schools. Walkability and transportation become new issues for all the kids in our neighborhood, the Foothills development. Placing our kids from the Foothills in an appropriate, closer elementary school like Borah, that would feed into our neighborhood middle school of Canfield would make much more sense and is in line with the guiding principles of this boundary review.

We live in a Canfield Estates and am very confused why we are not zoned to be at Dalton Elementary. We live closer to Dalton Elementary than Borah. The ability for our son to walk/ride bike to school is safer and much more practical.

Transit times are not being thoroughly considered. If a child can walk to a school in 10 minutes, or ride their bike within 5 minutes, that should be their school.

Instead of allowing our children in Canfield Park to walk less than a mile to Dalton, the proposal will bus children down to Borah. Then, according to the forecast statistics, an equal amount of children, within walking distance of Borah will be removed from that elementary and bused up to Dalton. Since the capacity of Borah is the exact same, pre and post proposal it seems that Dalton should just absorb the Canfield Park neighborhood, and leave the Borah kids at the school they already attend. If this proposal is approved it will increase bus times, number of routes, associated costs and force children to ride the bus, when they otherwise would not have to.

Personally, I think there should be more put into walk-ability to a school. We are very close to Canfield Middle School yet we would be put in the Lakes school district. This would be more of a challenge for travel. We would also get re-zoned into Borah middle school from Bryan. As Borah is currently a more challenged school what are the plans to assure that all schools in CDA are brought up to a similar standard. With a high teacher turn over at Borah it is challenging to not think there are room for improvement in this school. Re-drawing the map is great but what other proposals are in place to standardize the quality of education. Also, when teachers have a great deal of high needs children how is that being taken into consideration.

Why doesn't the northern section of the current Bryan zone go north to Dalton? That makes more sense especially when you look at capacity and numbers now and after the rezone. All you are doing with Borah and Bryan is a reshuffle. Why decrease the Bryan numbers down to 77%?

As a long time Bryan parent I was dismayed to see we would be moved to Borah. Moving our Bentwood neighborhood to Borah would not solve any problems. That proposal would leave Bryan at under capacity and disrupt almost half of our Bryan school population. Please consider adjusting just the North end of town where there is overcrowding. Our Bryan zone is working and working well. I know walkability is very important to your committee. If we are transferred to Borah kids will be expected to cross 15th and Best, both very busy roadways. If we must move I feel that there is a safer walking route to Dalton elementary.

I think the boundaries for the proposal are very inconvenient as they relate geographically to our home. We are near the Shadduck park and being zoned for Borah seems poorly planned as there are multiple elementary schools that are closer to our neighborhood. I am also concerned about the poor performance noted on a yearly basis with this school and think that focusing on school improvement should be done by focusing on smaller class sizes and not strictly by relying on classroom diversity from outlying neighborhoods.

I appreciate all the work the committee is doing and know this isn't a easy job and is impossible to make everyone happy. I grew up in Hayden Lake and after graduating from CHS moved away to college and after college spent a long time in Oregon before my husband and I decided to move our family back to Idaho. We spent many long hours researching the schools and it was a huge factor in our home purchase. We bought a home in Fairway Hills neighborhood with the intention of sending our

science-loving son to Ramsey Magnet school. Our home was in the overlay zone, and I am very pleased to see that the committee and school board has made the decision to keep Ramsey a true magnet school with the overlay and lottery application process rather than giving it an attendance zone like a traditional school. Thank you. We also bought our home seeing as how the Prairie Trail goes right alongside our neighborhood and right to Woodland Middle School. We loved that our son could ride his bike with his neighborhood friends to middle school when the weather is good. The proximity of the school was key to us in the purchase of our home. The latest proposal has rezoned the Fairway Hills neighborhood to Lakes MS, so my son would now need to take a bus across town for school. This does not sit well with me. Looking at the map of the density of the student population, it also doesn't sit well with me that the majority of the students who attend Lakes MS will go on to CHS and he will be going to LCHS. This bothers me, but not more than the fact that what I thought was our neighborhood middle school, Woodland, which is less than 1.5 miles away will no longer be our school. As far as the high school zones go, I think the east and west separation makes a lot of sense.

We live at 4182 N. Magnolia Drive which is right next to Shadduck Park. We were originally zoned for Bryan Elementary which is a great school but quite a distance from our home. Dalton Elementary is what we thought we would be zoned for as it is only a mile from our house. My husband and I were so disappointed, upset, and honestly mad when we saw the proposed attendance zones. We have been zoned for Borah elementary which is the lowest performing, highest poverty (based on free and reduced lunch numbers) school in the CDA school district. We believe that our neighborhood was pulled into Borah's zone to help lower their free and reduced lunch numbers. However; we are so upset that our neighborhood would be rezoned to do this task. We are being asked to go from a 7 out of 10 rating (Bryan) to a 3 out of 10 rating (Borah). How would you feel if this was being asked of your children? We live one mile from Dalton. Dalton is where we should be zoned. If we are zoned for Borah this could also affect our home's resale value as what family would want to buy a home knowing they will have to send their kids to Borah. We live in a nice, safe neighborhood our demographic fits better with Dalton elementary. We are a neighborhood that cares deeply about where our students go. We are the families that tour every preschool in town to make the best choice for our children. I know that magnet schools are an option but we don't want the stress of applying for magnet schools every year especially when if we don't get accepted our children are left to go to Borah. My solution to Borah's low scores would be to decrease Borah's school zone. Focus on lower class sizes and add more resources to this school. This will help to even out the playing field. I really hope that you do take into consideration all of these concerns. Our children deserve to go to their neighborhood school which is Dalton Elementary.

Looking at the maps, it appears that all the zones that we are in, are the most heavily populated areas. It doesn't make a lot of sense to me, to have the highly populated and growing area to all be in one side of the zone. It appears that The Couer D Alene high school area isn't as dense as the Lake City High School area. I don't know how that will work with the population at the High School level. I would vote to keep the zoning in the High Schools the same until a new High School is built.

The majority of growth is currently in the Lake City school zone by keeping the north area of the proposed Lake City zone in CHS it will take pressure off of Lake city. I vote to keep the high school zoning as is until a new school is built.

Why did my zone change so much? Will this help address our issues or will parents freak out and just transfer out?

I am frustrated to say the least

Based on the proposed map Winton will still be overcrowded and in four years it will be over 120% based on new building developments. The hotspot the large development behind Winco is a perfect place to start with to fix this problem. It is unacceptable for one school to be at 70% Free Reduced School Lunch and another at 14% so that needs to change and taking that large development and busing them to a different school that has a low SES not Winton.

I am a parent of an elementary student, 9th grader, and 11th grader. I appreciate the current proposal that takes into consideration proximity and walkability to the schools. However, with the current proposal, our neighbor (directly south of Canfield) seems to have been used to balance equity issues for Borah even though they have neighborhoods closer to Borah. I believe the audit spoke of equity issues, but more so the need to balance out special ed and high school graduation requirements. Where is the direct feedback that schools should be rezoned based on the SES status of neighborhoods? The survey results emphasized neighborhood schools and proximity, families have purchased homes close to neighborhood schools, but we are now zoned for a school further away from us.

I do not like the fact our daughter would have to go to Lakes Middle school when Woodland is just over a mile away. She can bike home from school now, she wouldn't be able to do that at Lakes. I would like the boundaries to stay the same

I thought you moved tons of kids away from their neighborhood school.

I wasn't happy. I feel that we are being displaced for a possible societal good at real harm to our family. Our children are well adjusted in their current environment. The intention is to disrupt the status quo for a better public policy. The new school is less central to the actual issue. It would be filled easily without such drastic district changes. Will it actually work? Is it worth disrupting the lives of upwards of a thousand children? The cloth cuts in funny ways. Many of the people at this meeting are well-off financially. They can both adapt to change and are adept at making their opinions known. The impacts upon the less well off will be more substantial. Many of these families have trouble coping with current circumstances and have contrived current mechanisms of support. They have developed accommodations for practical and immediate problems. What if my child misses the bus? Can they walk? Do they have somewhere to go after school? Can they stay with someone in the neighborhood if they are ill and live 2 minutes away? These arrangements characterized my youth and they take time to develop. I think the unintended consequences of this policy change are going to be extensive. Are you sure that the good will outweigh the bad?

I would vote against it, if I had the choice. Stability is important to my children and our family.

I have lived at my house close to atlas and my kids have been going to school at atlas for the last 3 years. This proposal makes my kids move to a school he isn't comfortable with and away from the neighborhood he lives in and now has to travel to the new school. Very much hoping we can figure something out to allow my kids to be at the school they like.

I think based on where we live we should be zoned for Dalton Elementary. We live a mile south of Dalton right by Shadduck Park. Your committee has proposed that we be zoned for Borah. I have strong feelings regarding this proposal. You must be focused socio-economic status rather than what our community wants and that is to be zoned to our neighborhood school. A neighborhood school is a school within walking or biking distance. Dalton provides this while Borah does neither of these things. A neighborhood

school provides a community in if families with similar demographics. Dalton provides this for our neighborhood while Borah does not. Stop focusing on the schools socio-economic status and focus on neighborhood schools. Help Borah's population by decreasing their zone and provide those students while smaller class sizes, more Title 1 funding and community resources. Don't use our neighborhood to boost their socio-economic status.

Disappointed my daughter would have to leave her school for her last year of elementary school

I live in the Foothills subdivision (south of Thomas Lane, east of 15th). We are currently zoned for Bryan, Canfield and CHS. The latest proposal has us zoned for Fernan, Lakes and CHS. The boundary line for our zone is Thomas Lane itself. I have several concerns about this new zoning. First, this zoning goes against several of the guiding principles of the Boundary Review Committee. The first is the issue of walkability. While we have no elementary school within walking distance, we are within easy walking distance of Canfield Middle School. In fact, there are bike paths in our neighborhood that connect directly with Canfield. To send us to Lakes, necessitating busing over several miles, doesn't make sense. It is unsafe and all but impossible for our kids to bike or walk to Lakes while many currently do this on a daily basis to Canfield. In addition, both Borah and Dalton are geographically closer to our neighborhood than Fernan. Busing our kids to Fernan would mean increased transportation costs for the district. The second issue is the guiding principle of a "neighborhood" school and keeping continuity for neighborhood communities. By choosing Thomas Lane as the boundary line, the new zoning would split up a neighborhood community. Those students living north of Thomas Lane currently attend Bryan with kids from our neighborhood. They ride the bus with them, meet them at Shadduck park to play after school, and ride their bikes to each other's houses. Now, the zoning will split our neighborhood in half, sending these students to Borah and Canfield while our kids go to Fernan and Lakes. This doesn't make sense. We feel a more natural boundary would be either Nettleton Gulch or Appleway/Best. Thomas Hill itself is a large obstacle that acts as a natural boundary between neighborhoods. To balance out the numbers, perhaps the southern tip of Borah's zone could be given to Fernan, or Bryan's zone shifted further west to put more kids into the Fernan zone.

I would like to see the Northern section brought back to Bryan. If they are going to be bused to a school, then please keep them at their currently school.

I disagree with Borah being our home school based on the map from 1/14/2020. We would rather keep Bryan as our current school, Dalton being our 2nd choice. Since Bryan would only be at 77.2% capacity, it makes more sense to keep them at Bryan. Since our children will be going to Canfield MS, and Coeur d'Alene HS, Dalton would make more sense.

Terrible.

Concern 1: Proximity to Home and Child Safety: The proposed elementary (Fernan) and middle (Lakes) are miles farther than the currently zoned schools, Bryan and Canfield. We purchased our home in the Foothills neighborhood (off Thomas Lane) because of the proximity to and safe/protected walkability to Canfield Middle. The route to Canfield Middle is only about 1.5 short miles away and there are neighborhood-accessible sidewalks and a bike path system to get our neighborhood kids there safely - built into our neighborhood. We are not walkable to Lakes Middle. Aside from the prohibitively far distance, it is very dangerous to walk or bike from our neighborhood to Lakes Middle - down busy 15th St with little or no sidewalk, across Best Avenue, under the 95 freeway crossing. The proposal to send our neighborhood kids to Lakes Middle instead literally puts our kids in harm's way. We are a unique

neighborhood in the city because we are not "walkable" to any elementary school. Originally, it was a compromise for our neighborhood to be zoned to Bryan Elementary. However, our neighborhood community has helped Bryan Elementary improve over the years. We are proud of this and deeply invested in the Bryan community. We see no benefit in re-zoning our neighborhood to Fernan Elementary, a school with which we are unfamiliar and miles away from. To reiterate, we are SAFELY walkable to and deeply invested in Canfield Middle School, where our students have been attending for years. Zoning us out of Canfield Middle takes away our students' only access to walkability. A proposed solution is to keep our neighborhood zoned at Canfield Middle.

Concern 2: Neighborhood and Community Integrity: The proposed boundary zone splits our students up from their friends and classmates who live only yards away, across Thomas Lane. Even though it is south of Thomas Lane, the Foothills neighborhood is part of the community directly across the street north of Thomas Lane. These kids have grown up together, biking, playing at Shadduck Park, trick-or-treating together, riding the same bus, and as classmates at their neighborhood schools. Tearing our neighborhood apart in this way is detrimental to our community. The boundary line drawn at Thomas Lane is not a natural divider if it cuts a neighborhood up into pieces. A proposed solution is to look at more natural dividers such as Nettleton Gulch or Best Avenue, where neighborhoods are actually separated by natural geography (forest) or commercial development.

Unhappy with losing access to Sorensen. We have been highly involved in that school with our two oldest, and have been looking forward to having our youngest there as well. We are in the Fort Grounds neighborhood, and feel that Sorenson is out "neighborhood" school- for downtown kids. The boundary should head west towards NIC with a cutoff on Hubbard or River ave via Foster.

There should be an amendment for walking distance be top priority for each school.

I think there is still work to be done to ensure neighborhoods are maintained and that the kids in those areas are going to the closest school possible. I think magnet schools should have mandatory attendance zones. I didn't appreciate being preached to by representatives from certain schools giving biased and argumentative and sometimes even flat out false information. I thought they were supposed to be impartial and there to educate the public. There needs to be louder voices and more representatives talking about some of the lower SES schools so the conversation isn't so overwhelmingly about magnet vs non magnet.

I don't agree with the boundaries. We are 3 minutes from Ramsey, but are being shown put in Wintons boundary?

I was very surprised to see this first proposal. It seems very strange and unfair to us that Sorenson and Ramsey were not factored into the equation. Sorenson's population in particular affects our home school of Bryan. Very strange. All of that aside, I feel that moving our neighborhood of Bentwood to Borah does not help the rezoning situation. If we move to Borah the capacity at Bryan goes down to 77% which would be the lowest in the district! We would just be trading Bryan for Borah and disrupting many, many families in the process. If you move our neighborhood and the Foothills neighborhood almost half of our Bryan population is disrupted. That is a pretty dramatic change for one school. I was also surprised to see the divide on Thomas between Borah and Fernan elementary. This division would be very sad for our Bryan kids. If we have to leave our beloved Bryan at least let our children all go together. Don't break up Bentwood and Canfield Park from the Foothills. We have friends across the street and it would be so sad and strange if our kids had to be split apart. Best Ave. seems like a more natural divider. Please consider just rezoning just the north end of the district. Our southern school boundaries are working and working

well. If the magnet school keeps it's overlay it doesn't make sense to shake up all of the southern boundaries. If you change your southern boundaries you are going to have to deal with lots of families trying to transfer to different schools and also "grandfathering" problems. It really seems simpler and less problematic to keep the southern area the same. Perhaps working on how forced transfers in the southern zone are managed would be a better idea.

I think the boundaries need some adjusting. We live 2 blocks from Borah, a school all my kids have gone to and now we would be in Bryan school which is a lot further and more dangerous as my child would have to cross Harrison St.

I am not happy with the new boundary for Canfield middle school. At this point maintaining the middle school boundary is more important to me than fixing the feeder elementary school issue. There is no 'home' elementary school for my neighborhood. We live near Canfield and currently go to Bryan Elementary. I am happy to stay at Bryan and continue to be zoned for Canfield. Not everything can be fixed. A lot of people purchased homes for the purpose of being in the Canfield middle school zone. Changing that boundary is very upsetting to a lot of people. My kids could walk to Canfield but definitely not to Lakes. Changing this boundary can also affect our home values.

We are supposed to be rezoned to nexa based on the proposal. But it's the same distance from our home as atlas. The red e are two major streets to cross. Why not make prairie the divide instead? Why does the nexa boundary wrap around atlas? This seems weird.

My kids currently go to Bryan and we love the staff. I see that you are trying to take our "wealthier neighborhood" and move us out of Bryan and really hope you don't. Bryan staff is amazing and the school is already very low income. And now with the new zones they would even be lower income families.

I think it's ridiculous that my child will be zoned for Winton and Lakes when they can walk 11 houses down the road and use the crosswalk to get to Across Ramsey Road and walk a block and be at school. Then for Middle School they can walk the same 11 houses and walk on the trail to Woodland. We are less than a mile for both Ramsey and Woodland living on the North side of Kathleen on Player Drive.

I live 3 blocks from Dalton elementary but this proposal would put us at Borah!!!! I would use a private school over Borah and so would all the other families in my neighborhood

As a family living in the north part of town with massive growth, it seems odd to move from Skyway to Atlas (we are in the Landings). Crossing Prairie feels like leaving our "home" school area. Also the map is pulling from behind Atlas to put kids in Nexa. I'm sure you're addressing some need I don't see, but Prairie is busy. Where will Hayden families cross Prairie to get to Nexa? And now our Coeur d Alene families have to cross Prairie to get to a Hayden school. It just doesn't have the home feeling.

I hate it. My son is being badly bullied and not getting a good education at skyway. We want to have him go to Ramsey, but we are on versailles dr and just barely out of boundary.

I am confused by the Borah Elementary boundary. It doesn't seem to make sense and i wonder if it is made that way because other schools decided they wanted a certain clientele to not attend their schools. This is a very disconcerting thought for fairness of our students across the district.

My thoughts on the matter of the boundaries changing, at least for the middle schools, is ridiculous. We

live just south of Woodland and our daughter would be attending there for 2020-2021 school year, but with the purposed changes, she is forced into Lakes. She would have biked/walked to school if attending Woodland (1 mile away), but now could be forced to ride the bus to Lakes, which is over 3 miles away. Not only does the transportation hinder us a little, but now most of her school mates would be attending Woodland. Going into middle school without knowing your peers is nerve racking for most kids and depressing, with already being a stressful situation from going to elementary school to middle. Please take this into consideration to NOT change the Fairway Forest/Masters Dr neighborhood area. Once again, we are in short walking distance from our house to Woodland. It doesn't make much sense to transport a child that far to another school out of walking distance.

Our house on Berrywood Street is a stone's throw from Canfield Middle School so we were pleased to see that Proposal 1 was altered from the original Springboard design to include our neighborhood in the walking distance attendance zone for Canfield. We think that it's extremely important that children be able to attend the school that they are within walking or safe biking distance to. With that said, we disagree with the way that our neighborhood has been assigned to Borah. We feel that it would make more sense to have the area from 15th street east and Dalton south to Shadduck attend Dalton Elementary. I believe that at one time our neighborhood was in Dalton's area, but we were changed to Bryan. I understand the desire of the school district to create socio-economic diversity within the schools, but frankly I feel like our neighborhood is being yanked around with little regard for the impact it has on the students. When we moved into the neighborhood, our son bused over to Bryan. And because most of the children who attend Canfield are drawn from the Dalton neighborhood, he knew almost nobody at the middle school. This seems like it isn't fair and it certainly would be a surprise to anyone buying homes in our neighborhood. There is also a strange little chunk west of Canfield from Margaret south to Neider and 95 east to Honeysuckle that is assigned to Dalton. Wouldn't it work to make everything north of Margaret/Shadduck go to Dalton and everything south of Margaret/Shadduck go to Borah? Or something similar?

I do not want my kid to transfer. We are 4 houses down from 15th and would be put into Bryan instead of Fernan, even though my daughter has been there for the past 3yrs.

Confusing, misleading, and misinformed

I am extremely upset with this first proposal. While I am sure that you have heard a lot of positive feedback I highly doubt that any positive feedback is coming from my neighborhood. My family and I live in the Shadduck Park area. We are north of Shadduck Rd and a mile south of Dalton Elementary. We are currently in the Bryan zone which never really made sense and when I heard that the district was rezoning I thought without a doubt that we would be rezoned to Dalton because this is our NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOL. Being a mile away from a school is in fact a school's community. However, we have been proposed to go to Borah Elementary. Now, I have a few thoughts on why this might be happening. First, you must be using our neighborhood to boost Borah's socio-economic status which I (and my neighborhood) have a HUGE problem with. Borah has the highest free and reduced lunch population in the district. This increases their Title I funding which is something that they desperately need. Also, their demographic is completely different than our neighborhood. This is not and I repeat NOT a community builder. Borah's needs are very different than our needs. Also, how would YOU feel if you were zoned for a 7 or 8 out of ten school to be rezoned to the lowest performing school in the district which is a 3 out of ten according to Great Schools. Our neighborhood is not the answer to Borah's problems. Borah needs smaller class sizes, more paraprofessional help in the classrooms, more community resources. You should be looking closely at what else can be done for Borah but adding our neighborhood to their zone which is so far from our home is ridiculous. Another point I'd like to make is

when looking at the proposed zoning map there are three circles around Dalton. Each indicated I believe a 1.5 mile radius. We fall well within this radius. I just am flabbergasted that you are not honoring what our community has resoundingly said...WE WANT OUR CHILDREN TO GO TO THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD SCHOOLS. Dalton Elementary is our COMMUNITY school.

My student can walk to Woodland Middle School but the new boundary proposal would send him to Lakes Middle School necessitating an earlier wake up time to allow for traveling across town during work commute traffic time. I'm anxious to hear more about grandfathering.

Our school [Bryan] should be allowed to keep the Northern section.

Promotes the elitist mindset in our community.

For the east side of town, this proposal would affect a lot of people, with no major changes in capacity or ses, considering the margin of error on these data and projections. What a waste of resources... teachers, administrators and families would be significantly affected for virtually no gain! Such a transition can be traumatic for certain children, and should only be executed if there are legitimate community gains. This proposal improves ses for borah, but just shifts those gains to Bryan. Why bother? My family resides in the foothills neighborhood and I fully support the letter that was drafted and sent last week so I will not repeat those thoughts. I would also like to add that what strikes me in this proposal is the absence of data presented for the magnet schools. It is suspicious because Sorensen has by far the best ses stats on the east side of town. If you really want to equalize ses in the eastern schools, some adjustments to Sorensen may be the only change needed, affecting fewer families with much more gain. In addition, it seems unfair and a little suspicious that children would be grandfathered in at Sorensen but possibly not other schools. Why would the school that has the best stats be almost completely unaffected while everyone else is shifted and upheaved with a result of no significant changes? My daughter has finally adjusted to school and is thriving. I truly hope that she isn't set back for a year or longer and that my family doesn't have to struggle through a meaningless transition this year. We chose our neighborhood intentionally for Bryan elementary and Canfield middle school and assumed that the schools are stable because the major growth is on the west side of town. It is disheartening to think that it might not be the case.

It is a frustrating situation, I know the schools are overcrowded and only getting worse, but I am not okay with moving kids around every few years. We have already been rezoned once in the last 6 years and it had a negative effect on my youngest daughter. Now she is supposed to pick up and move again and leave her comfort zone again. I think the families that will be affected should be grandfathered in to the school they already attend.

I am shocked that we live within walking distance of woodland and they want my kids to be bused to lakes. We live on Palmer and it takes my daughter 8 minutes to walk to school. Previous boundaries carved out our neighborhood for woodland which makes a lot more sense than busing us to the other side of town.

While I like the feeder school concept, from what I can tell from this proposal, Lakes is the only middle school that feeds into two high schools. It appears that both other middle schools each feed into only one high school. I have had two students go through Lakes and onto Lake City High School. I currently have a Lakes student. It has been our experience that Lakes is already given a poor reputation in the community and at the high schools. It seems a shame to further disadvantage Lakes students by dividing them at the

next level. I would request that Lakes students be given special priority with requests to attend either of the two high schools- either through a grandfathering system or at a transfer request level. While I understand that while our district has 3 middle schools and 2 high schools, the feeder school concept can't be perfect, I do think that this disadvantage for Lakes students should be acknowledged transparently in the boundary proposal, and that some type of action should be taken to counter this for those students. I support the combination of an overlay and lottery for the magnet schools. When Sorensen became a magnet school, the staff and parents worked very closely with the City Council and Mayor at that time. The council was going through a downtown revitalization plan, which involved bringing young families to the downtown core. Many families have moved downtown over the past 10 years because of their interest in Sorensen. Other young families have been able to attend Sorensen as their neighborhood school. Our children attended Sorensen before and after it became a magnet school. I support the combination of overlay and or attendance zone, with lottery system.

I'm struggling to understand why a family that lives minutes from their neighborhood school would change schools. This is happening to many families that live near Hayden Meadows, Dalton and Canfield. Also I think the district and committee are putting too much emphasis on socio and economic equity for our schools. We really need to get back to the idea of a neighborhood school. I can't emphasize this thought enough- we absolutely must do away with choicing in to schools. If our district continues to allow this the boundary process was for nothing. For example, Canfield has many, many families that live downtown and cougar gulch area that choice in. This practice must stop, no exceptions. You go to the school you are zoned for. Period.

It appears that NeXA's boundary grew significantly and the Atlas boundary got greatly reduced. I understand that NeXA will have a bigger facility but some of the areas that the school will be pulling from are just being developed. What is going to happen when those areas are fully developed? Atlas will end up being the catch all for NeXA and Skyway overflow. Why not increase Atlas' zone a little bit to decrease the burden of transfers and so NeXA will have room for the future students from the new development houses. Don't overload NeXA from the start. It would also be great if class size was a factor in determining the school population.

I don't think it makes sense to take all if Sanders Beach and switch it to Bryan. This is one of the more affluent parts of the Fernan District. Bryan and Fernan are comparable in terms of students on free or reduced lunch. Shaving off the most affluent part of Fernan will negatively impact Fernan and help Bryan as all of those parent Resources will go to Bryan.

My house is walking distance to Canfield Middle School but with the new proposal we would be changed to Lakes Middle. We wanted our kids to go to Canfield Middle School and have the ability to walk to school which is why we purchased a home in that area.

Not good..... I would rather have my kids stay at the same school they are at now. I'm in the landing and we have gone to skyway for the last 3 years. We love the teacher and as a parent I know the teacher and I how the school is run. My kids have 2 years left and I would rather not change school before they have to for middle school and high school. My Daughter has a harder time with reading and the reading teacher has known her since kindergarten. Is there anyway we can stay were we are at ?

My youngest two currently attend Bryan Elementary. Sending my children to Fernan Elementary is incredibly to far from our home. We are closer to Dalton Elementary. They would have to get on a bus at 7:30-7:45 in order to get to the school in time for breakfast. They need to be sent to an elementary school

closer to where we live. Which is Dalton. Sending my children to Lakes makes absolutely no sense. Currently we live too close to Canfield to be on a bus route and my older two (who now attend CHS) were always able to ride their bikes or scooters to school. Which they loved. We are only 1 mile from Canfield and 2.5 miles to Lakes, which is way too far to ride their bikes. The district would have to add new bus routes and new drivers to get our kids to school. Not to mention any sporting activities that take place after school, they would have to always have a ride home if they attended Lakes. And for our family we work later and are unable to pick them up right away. If they stay at Canfield they can walk or ride their bikes. Also, 15th Street from our house to Lakes is completely unsafe. There is not a sidewalk or bike path.

Disappointed due to school relocation for my kids. 3 generations have attended CDA High and now they have to change HS. The slow roll or grandfather rules are too short and leaves too many kids impacted

While I understand there is growth and there will need to be change, it is hard to understand why our children will be attending schools exceptionally further away. We purchased our home based on the schools our children would attend. Additionally, selecting our neighborhood because of the proximity to Ramsey, Woodland and Lakecity HS. We want to give our kids an opportunity to be independent and responsible. The current proposed boundaries would take that opportunity away. Our kids can no longer walk or ride their bikes to school. Woodland is accessed by a trail system in our neighborhood, which is safe, keeping the kids off of main roads. The proposal would force our kids to Lakes Middle School, with absolutely no chance of transferring themselves to and from school safely. I understand this proposal affects much more than just our family, but I would ask you to please reconsider. School proximity is very important, this new boundary makes no sense in regards to where we live. We reside in Fairway Hills off of Evergreen Dr

I do not agree with it. I would want/think the landings as a whole should be in the new elementary schools district not part of it still in Hayden's atlas elementary

I think it needs some work. There are various areas that are not zoned for the schools that are literally across the street! One example of this is the area across the street from Woodland. It is not zoned for Woodland but instead zoned for Lakes.

I have some concerns over my child having to attend a different middle school for 8th grade. I feel this may be a challenging adjustment.

We are so disappointed that our kids will have to be bussed all the way down to Lakes middle school when they can walk one block north from our home address to Woodland. Our whole neighborhood Fairway Hills and Fairway Forest are really distraught of the boundary change. Now instead of a two minute bike ride to Woodland middle school my son is going to have to take a 20 to 30 minute bus ride to middle school and from middle school it's so disappointing!

Not happy my daughter does not want to change middle schools and lose friends and a place she just started at this year. Also my son is special needs and change is not good for him.

Very upsetting. We are building a home this year and will be just across the street from Skyway (approximately 600 feet away, door-to-door) and are currently proposed to be bumped to the new school. This new proposal is NOT walkable in any way and is actually detrimental to the help we get as working parents to get our kids to and from school. Essentially, it is very disappointing and makes us feel like the

CDA School District doesn't care about how we feel.

I think the committee should have focused more on putting kids into the school that is closest to their home, and should NOT focus on trying to socio-engineer attendance zones to balance free/reduced lunch. Balance the attendances, stop pushing some sort of political agenda onto our children!

I believe it would make more sense and be far easier on the parents living South off of 95, (Harbor Island, Mica Bay, Cougar Gulch) to go to CHS. It's a trek getting into town as it is, driving farther north to get to LCHS is illogical.

I am concerned that although I live much closer to Canfield MS my kids are zoned for Lakes MS in this proposal. They could ride bikes to Canfield, but with Lakes they would need to cross two freeway entrances in each direction, and these are not controlled access points with crosswalks or stoplights.

I'm unhappy with the elementary school changes on the right side of 95. I don't understand why if sorensen isn't going to take a boundary and help balance SES numbers why are you changing all the boundaries when we're all close to 100%. You'd just be rotating the numbers between Borah, Bryan and Fernan. And Bryan is being split between 3 different schools. That's a lot of displacement without any overall change.

We are being put in the lowest scoring school in the district. We bought our home last year so she would not go to that school. There are closer schools to our house.

It is a huge change. Especially for high school students who are already comfortable at the school they attend. My son has been going to Lake City High school for two years and will have to change in his junior year, to attend Coeur d' Alene High.

My thoughts on having two change schools is ridiculous my child has been at Bryan for two years now and should not have to change schools my child will be going into 5th grade my opinion is that my child should stay at Bryan elementary

I am just concerned on Canfield I live .9 miles from Canfield and my kids have to go to Lakes Middle School.. In this School District walking is 1.5 mile. I live on the corner of 15th and Lunceford please consider what is happening. It doesn't bother me on Fernan. It just makes more sense to leave the boundary to Canfield. Somebody needs to drive the 1.5 miles. I understand what is going on PLEASE LOOK INTO THIS.

Borah's boundaries make it look like we just got what was left of what the other schools' borders are. By no means does our school look like it serves the neighborhood. If as a district we are talking about wanting schools to have walkability then Borah's lines do not make sense at all. These new lines are not equitable at all. You purposely drew lines so that Borah got a lot of undesirable areas that again end up feeding into one school.

I think the middle school boundary for lakes and canfield should come east along Best then north on 15th then east on Nettleton Gulch. It makes no sense for kids north of Nettleton to go to lakes when they can walk or ride bikes easily to Canfield

Seems that the newly designed boundaries simply split the district into east and west regions. Was any

consideration given to Integrating current boundaries into the new? Another question of concern is what about current bus routes? Will these be somewhat maintained under the new proposal or will in region transfer students all have to be reliant on personal transport?

We specifically purchased our home nine years ago because of the school zones we are located in. Our home is a few minutes from Canfield and is now being re-zoned to Lakes. We live in Foothills community; our backyard is right up against the new Thomas Ln cutoff. We are disappointed by this change. For us, and the other families that have children in our neighborhood, this logistically does not make sense. Thank you.

Very upsetting. I went to Bryan and my son has been going since kindergarten and is now in 3rd and we trust and love this school.

I'm displeased that the first proposal for the boundary change would require my child to attend a school several blocks away in the center of town when he currently attends a school less than four blocks away. I don't see that as a justifiable or logical change.

Looking at the map for Skyway on the north boundary, west of Atlas: Why does the proposed boundary (for Skyway) have a block of houses (14 homes) that appear to be on Freeland Drive between Talon and Carrington Lanes but are notched out for Skyway? It looks like they should be with the others on the same street included in the Atlas zone. The blocks to the east and west of them are in the Landings and in the proposed Atlas area. This must be an error in mapping? Looks like if you have a Freeland Dr. or Thorndale Loop address, you would be considered an Atlas student (except the way it is mapped now and only that block of houses would be Skyway....)

We obtained a rental in the skyway school boundary because we were building a house within the same so that we wouldn't have to move our kids school again. Our house being built will be closer to skyway (north of Canfield east of Courcelles) than our rental and as of the first proposal we will have to walk to the new school which is just over a mile away. If you use google maps it's an empty field, however if you drive through you will see there are many house going up many that are less than 1000 feet from the school.

My son is starting 6th grade this next year. We live in Fairway Forest & he would be moved to Lakes. He could walk to Woodland. If he goes to Lakes he will need to catch the bus probably before 7 am to get to school. That seems too early. I'm concerned about how well he will do with this.

I am not in favor my daughter would go from biking/walking distance to all the way across town!

My house is within the 1.5 walk zone to woodland. My child has a safe route to walk. She is now zoned for Lakes, likely a long bus ride to and from school. I work near Kootenai hospital and know what a traffic mess occurs when there is an accident on the freeway and the back ups that occur on all arterials. CDA is not getting any smaller and traffic flows have not been addressed. Please consider there are few routes to cross the freeway to get my child to/ from school. I will not be able to pick up/ drop off due to work schedule. I would also like you to consider that my child I'm addition has a learning disability for which we receive after hours tutoring for because she does not have low enough test scores to be helped at school. A long commute in addition to cutting into before/ after school time is not favorable for me and our home life, especially knowing she can walk and be home from school in 10 mins. Thanks for your consideration. This is a hard job!

Sorensen should have a mandatory attendance zone. Bryan should be able to keep its Northern section.

Kids that walk to Canfield right now live beyond Thomas drive and for some ludicrous reason now they won't be able to walk there anymore. All for a 10% gain for free lunch kids. Include South SIDE Thomas lane kids so they can walk to their "neighborhood school" and you keep your promise as a walkable school.

It is unnecessary and unfortunate Bryan Elementary will be divided to three different schools. Please keep Bryan together. It is also a concern Sorensen Elementary is in no way helping our boundary issues. We are a community that should put our students first. Sorensen should be required to take all of their neighborhood students (without application) and then fill available slots for out of boundary students. Sorensen please step up and be part of our community, it is not up to Bryan Elementary, Borah Elementary and Fernan Elementary to have all of the boundary changes. We have 4 downtown elementaries that should be all required (including Sorensen) to first and foremost accept their neighborhood students without a need for application 6 months prior to school.

I think the Committee needs to take a closer look at the busy streets students who will be too close for bussing will be needing to cross before making final decisions on elementary school zones. Safety of the students should be the of the highest priority.

Current Zone (Canfield MS, CdA High School). Revised Zone (Woodland MS, Lake City High School). The initial proposal will shift my son out of his current Canfield Middle School and will separate him from most of the kids that he grew up with for the past 7 years when he transitions to a new high school (Lake City). We will likely be selling our home and buying a new home solely for the purpose of shifting school zones. Please do not take these changes lightly nor shift them again as many families will be making significant changes to their lives to accommodate this revision. Further, we purchased our home in 2009 based on the school zones that we wanted our kids to grow up in...you have now changed that.

I only looked at the east side of town. My career is in GIS (mapping), and while proposal 1 might be a prettier map than the current zones, it does not accomplish the goals set forth for the boundary rezoning. Specifically, it does not 1. equalize SES, 2. impact the smallest # of families, 3. maximize proximity to home, and 4. maintain neighborhood schools.

1 and 2: Between Borah, Bryan, and Fernan, the proposal would shift around 210 students (out of 1,158). That is 20% of the students in those schools! Why? There is virtually no difference in the SES statistics!

3 (proximity to home): I live in the Foothills neighborhood. We are not really walkable to any elementary school. This proposal sends us to a school that is further than what we are currently zoned for.

4 (neighborhood schools): My kindergartner has a few good friends at school and is painfully shy. Two of her friends live North of Thomas Lane, so they would be zoned for Borah. Another lives in the proposed Bryan boundary. Do I really have to send her to Fernan next year, with none of her friends following? Specifically friends from our extended neighborhood? Is rezoning of elementary schools necessary for the eastern part of town? Capacity may be an issue, but it is unclear whether the capacity shown is including the transfers that have been accepted at those schools. If capacity at Bryan and Borah is an issue, why not just extend the Sorensen overlay a bit and have some of those students attend there? There already appears to be a fairly solid feeder system in place. Maybe to remedy middle school capacity issues, you just need to send the current boundary of Borah to Canfield instead of Lakes. I am glad that this is a first proposal and not the final one. I think there is quite a bit more work to do!

I'm not happy with the old boundaries and I'm not happy with the new boundaries. We live less than 1 mile from Dalton elementary, yet we're zoned for either Bryan or Borah which are 3 miles away. I would like my child to be able to ride her bike or walk to school at some point, but that won't be possible as things currently are.

I purchased my home based on the school zone it currently has to allow my son to go to his grandparents house after school to assist them with chores. He is able to walk to their house and can therefore participate in after school activities. The new zoning of the high schools will force him to catch a bus home and therefore prevent him from participating in after school activities and from aiding his grandparents.

We live about 1 1/2 miles from Woodland Middle School (Pineridge Drive), and based upon the boundary, our kids would have to go to Lakes Middle School. This makes no sense for the kids being within close proximity to their home and friends. Would suggest that the line go a little further south to take into consideration the blocks on the south side of the nursery. This is very stressful for the kids and parents and this should be the last thing that they are stressed about.

i think some of your boundary lines dont make sense. Especially with Borahs line. i live less than a mile away from Borah and my daughter has been going there since Kindergarten and now your new lines would have her going to Bryan which is farther away.

This proposal has way too much area for Nexa. We should look at the zoning that Hayden had before Nexa was in Hayden. Atlas hansell of the area east of 95 and that worked well. It makes no sense to travel further to go to a worse school.

No matter what boundaries you choose, it is essential that enrollment at the two high schools BE EQUAL. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that Lake City should be over capacity and CHS should be under or at capacity. MAKE THEM EQUAL.

I feel the high boundary lines between the high schools are super confusing and is not equally divided by the two high schools

I live in the Pine Hill neighborhood and I am very concerned that this neighborhood was moved to Lakes middle school zone. Many of the kids in my neighborhood walk to Canfield because it is 1 1/2 miles away. The proximity to the school allows many of the kids to participate in after school sports. Many kids will not be able to continue doing that if they are moved to Lakes due to Lakes being so far away (they cannot walk home, and some parents are not available to pick them up). Plus this boundary change really breaks up the neighborhood kids from going to school together. It is so strange to me that neighborhoods that are farther south and east of CMS are now zoned to go to CMS. There are neighborhoods that are a mile or two further away that would be switching to CMS - that seems like a waste of money in transportation. I was informed at the open house that some of the change was due to SES - I understand needing to make sure all schools have diversity, but the neighborhood of Pine Hill and Jenny lane is not a high income neighborhood. We might be one of the lower neighborhoods that attend CMS. There are several duplexes (rentals), townhouses, and a trailer park in that neighborhood. I believe someone made a mistake on that one.

Disappointed to see the boundaries change

I don't like the change. I want my child to stay in the school he is in.

I do not like the idea of a required zone for Ramsey. I would like Ramsey to remain a choice school. Also, I wish to see Skyway and Ramsey more evened out in numbers and for portables to be removed. It makes the grades out in the portables feel like they are not part of the school community and I think these zones need to be narrowed down and the overcrowding shared with the other schools.

Your Boundaries do not make sense based on your own reasoning. I live on Nettleton Gulch rd. For some reason our neighborhood was split in half. My child and his friends that live in the neighborhood will be attending separate schools based on this map. Also, he could have easily ridden his bike to school threw the neighborhood with his friends. Instead, he will have to cross major rds which would not be safe. I wish you would reconsider and allow the Children on Nettleton Gulch rd to attend Canfield Middle School.

I personally don't like the new boundaries because my kids will be transferred to different schools across town.

Concern about Ramsey students in Fairway Forest being zoned for Lakes when they can walk to Woodland. Concern about Fernan and Lakes border going all the way to Thomas Lane and 15th when students can walk to Canfield. Elem students will be a long way from their home school. Atlas boundaries seem smaller and looks like areas are moved to Nexa. Will Nexa be full the first year? Will schools with portables take overflow from other elem schools? You need to look at more than major streets to decide boundaries.

We are greatly struggling with the proposal as it is now. We have a son who just turned 7 and has major social anxiety and doesn't deal well with new people or new things and it was a big deal to start kindergarten and its taken us almost 2 years to get to where we are now with him being able to love school make the environment where he can learn and made friends that he can trust and teachers he feels comfortable around. Ripping him from that and making him start all over would be a non options for me. I will do what it takes to keep him at his current school. Please see the below option i propose. Thanks for the consideration.

We live in the north part of the current Bryan zone near Canfield Middle School and have concerns about the latest proposal putting us at Borah for elementary school. One of our intentions when we purchased our house was to allow our children the opportunity to attend Bryan Elementary, Canfield MS and then CHS. I have three major concerns, First, it is my understanding that the proposed changes lead to very nominal changes in the underlying SocioEconomic Status data between Fernan, Bryan and Borah if the changes were implemented. Thus, disrupting the amount of kids based on the latest proposal does not seem prudent for many reasons, including the fact the data changes very little. In short, the proposed change is a big disruption to not only the kids themselves but also to the teachers and staff at those schools as the underlying dynamics of kids and parental involvement would likely be severely altered. Second, the majority of growth in CDA is in the northwest part of town and not the east side of town. I would encourage the Board to consider that if such drastic boundary changes at the elementary school level on the east side of town are necessary given how little growth there is (and can be in the future). Continuity and stability should be the main priority. The last concern is the proposed change of sending the Foothills neighborhood to Fernan Elementary. While Foothills is a unique pocket neighborhood, please consider the relationships that have been built between kids and their families over the years given that they have attended the same schools as the kids that live right across Thomas Lane. It's not just about going to school together but it's also about growing up together, playing at Shadduck park together, etc. thus breaking up that neighborhood is not a good decision. I acknowledge the Board has tough

decisions to make and respect the challenges they have in this process. However, the proposed changes to the east side of town are too disruptive in my view. The preference is for the North Bryan zone (including Foothills) to stay together at Bryan. If a change has to be made, please consider sending the North Bryan zone to Dalton for elementary as that would make more sense from a geographic standpoint, then Canfield MS and ultimately to CHS. Thank you.

We live East of 15th street, in the Prospector Ridge Development, and are currently zoned for Bryan Elementary. This new proposal zones us for Borah Elementary, which we have many concerns about. I have heard from representatives that the “numbers” at both Bryan and Borah will not change, but rather switch. It seems unnecessary to switch kids and cause lots of unnecessary change for families and teachers if no actual improvements are being made. We bought our house 3 years ago with the intent of our children going to either Bryan or Dalton Elementary (which is actually the closest school to us), And then Canfield Middle School. There is no room to grow East of 15th, and the predictability of that is WHY we picked that area of town to raise our children in.

I think it's terrible. We bought a nice home close walking distance to Dalton and should be zoned to that school. That is our neighborhood school. We are sowing to be zoned to Borah-not walking distance(diving almost 10 min) and far different economic school. Your home school schools be within a radius boundary to your home. NOT to diversify other economic situations in other schools. I paid a good price on this house to be in a school very close with other families like ours. If I wanted to be in a low income school I'd have paid much less and moved closer to one. You should not force families to go to other farther schools just to benefit that school. Think of you bought a home in an area you like with a school you liked and told you now have to go further away and drive to get there with a whole other economic environment. My 3rd grade has gone to dalton with her two sisters and now would be forced to finish her school in a new farther school with poorer families (less parent involvement...) NO absolutely not!!! Our home values with be lower as well as new families move to areas based on schools. Borah is NOT it!!!!!!!

Would like to see more of Hayden stay in NEXA's zone.

My concerns are the families that have been going to Skyway since K and then being uprooted to another elementary. That will be heartbreaking. I think we need to consider procedure over policy to keep students mentally healthy. Letting families (current in their schools now) finish out their elementary careers is not much to ask.

MIXED REVIEWS

As long as grandfathering kids in to their current schools and continuing to allow for open enrollment continues to be an option I see no major issues with the boundary changes. I do see that there does need to be some consideration to bus availability for NEXA with regard to kids who live in areas that require crossing Prairie or Ramsey Road. These kids should NOT be crossing these streets for safety reasons and buses should be provided even if they are within a mile or less of the school.

It is a good place to start. I think there is still work to be done. We need to focus on community priorities of neighborhood schools, walkability, disturbing the least kids and preserving choice.

I think the boundaries of the neighborhood schools seem to make sense and are encouraging in the way

the new boundaries are laid out. However, I don't think you will see much difference if you continue to allow the magnet schools to disrupt the other elementary schools. I would love to see an evaluation of Ramsey and their magnet status, and the percentage of students they pull from other schools. Also, if you made magnets take a certain percentage of free and reduced lunch, they would create less of a negative impact. I would love to see Borah get the east of 15th street and north of Best. It would make sense for them to go to Borah versus Fernan and would create more continuity for both schools.

Most were ok except the middle school boundaries

High schools make amazing sense. Some minor tweaks are needed for Middle Schools. For example "the golf area" south of the National Forest Nursery makes more sense going to Woodland than The Lakes. The elementary schools need a few more tweaks. The body of each zone makes sense. Some of the edges need more review. Borah's zone needs serious review.

The proposal is a good start. There are several issues that need to be considered, specifically neighborhood schools that benefit move-ins and other incoming CDA district students. As much as parents and kids may be emotionally attached to their schools, it isn't logical or time-efficient to have kids bussed from one side of town to the other. I also do not understand why there are any magnet schools in the district at this time. We do not have magnet middle schools, so any specialties will feed directly to Charter. If this is a benefit, I would like to know why. Removing the magnet status would help the committee create boundaries for the crowded downtown area as well as the Ramsey/Winton/Skyway area. In regard to the high school boundary, it is logical to go north/south however, LCHS will still be over-crowded in comparison to CHS, what is the future plan for the high schools?

The first question is a bit confusing. Are you asking which schools I think my kids should go to based on my address (how I answered) or which schools are currently in my attendance zone? The new attendance zones bring my kids elementary school from the 4th closest to our house to the 2nd closest, which is better. We are on the northern edge of the Borah zone, but Dalton is closer and easier to bike or walk to, which makes it preferable. I think that grandfathering current students is the best option if possible to make this a smooth transition for them. I hope that we will be able to petition to go to the closest schools, if outside whatever attendance zones are decided and there is room for additional students.

It looks great but the new school boundary is crazy.

Some great proposed changes, but many that need revising. We live in the foothills neighborhood and our neighborhood is proposed to be divided along Thomas Lane. We support that some of our neighborhood kids will be pulled from Bryan and rezoned for Borah (it's closer to where we live). Love that Borah is feeding into Canfield Middle School. Canfield is the only true neighborhood school that we have up here and we love it. Our kids walk and ride bikes to Canfield through the quiet, residential neighborhood. Getting there is easy and safe. Sending the other half of our neighborhood kids to Fernan, which feeds into Lakes Middle School does not make sense to us. These schools are so much further away. Not walkable. The kids can't walk or ride bikes there safely even if they weren't so far away. Can't we keep our neighborhood together? Send the foothills kids to Borah with their friends and let them keep attending Canfield, their neighborhood school within safe walking and biking distance? Thx

Overall we see good results of a lot of work by you all. Thank you! I have a few comments in addition. I think it's very important to maintain the automatic ability of people living in the area near Sorensen

Magnet School to attend Sorensen. I understand that being a magnet school this will not be 100%, but at least 1/2, in my opinion, is important. Many of us were active years ago to keep Sorensen open, with the vision of that move revitalizing the downtown area, bringing young families there to live. This has been successful, in my opinion, and is very important. We will go backwards on that if it is pure magnet lottery admission. If it is necessary to have Lakes Middle School be the only one to feed to 2 high schools, because of location or something else I'm unaware of, please acknowledge that. Acting as if the new plan completely solves that issue when it is far from true for Lakes is acting as if Lakes isn't as important -- we get enough of that. Perhaps Lakes students could be prioritized on desires to "grandfather in" for their preferred high school? Which brings me to my last point. I think it's important to maintain for some years, at least, the ability to go to the high school of preference, which people were envisioning prior to these changes. When kids have had older siblings attend a certain school, etc, it becomes an important issue.

A good starting point, but please be mindful of the neighborhood school concept with the elementary school located centrally within and a walking radius surrounding. Placing boundaries with schools at the edge and kids having to ride a bus or get a ride rather than walk to a closer school is not ideal. The neighborhood just east across the street from Skyway is an example of this and could be improved.

I like the middle school and high school boundaries. I do not think that Canfield Park should be zoned for Borah. It seems that the neighborhood between 15th street and Honeysuckle would be the more obvious neighborhood to go there. Canfield Park should either stay at Bryan or have them go to Dalton.

It seems like it's going in the right direction, but we would like to see the new NExA campus open to more students along the prairie road. Such as the landings.

I agree with the current zone for elementary school and middle school but do not agree with the zoning for the proposed high school. My husband and I purchased a home in 2016 based solely on the location and the school district. Are sons will now be forced to attend Coeur D Alene High school based on location alone.

High schools made sense with the divide. Make people more aware of the northern land you have for possible future schools (let them know about red tape too). Next big conversation about grandfathering: kids in a family need to be able to stay in same school. Not sure why you would change middle schools...they're all over crowded, so changing those now just to change in the future seems unwise.

Overall I think the boundary committee has done a good job. No one will ever be fully satisfied. My proposal would be to keep the existing NExA zone east of Highway 95 as part of the "permanent" NExA boundary, not just a promise to grandfather current students. I understand the thought that long-term goal is students shouldn't need to cross HWY 95, however in the Northeast Corner of Hayden, Hayden Meadows is still projected to be overcrowded and the area is landlocked and won't be getting a elementary. To my knowledge there isn't a plot of land sizeable enough for a school and this area is backed up against the Lakeland school district. The families that are currently "walking" distance to NExA have grown with the school, dealt with the poor facilities and lack of supplies, yes current students are grandfathered - but not families. Allowing the current NExA section on the east side of 95 to permanently stay in the NExA boundaries would help alleviate some of the overcrowding in the Hayden Meadows zone (that isn't going away any time soon). These families are familiar with NExA, have built relationships with staff, and grown to love the NExA Model (as evidenced that only 2 families on the east side of 95 don't want to transfer to the new site). Any new elementary schools built years down the road will service the West side of Hayden. Keeping the existing NExA zone on the East side of 95, so families and students

can keep some familiarity and to reduce some of the overcrowding at Hayden Meadows makes a lot of sense to me and I do think should be explored.

Overall I'm in favor of the proposed plan, but I don't know how the plan accounts for growth in Skyway and Nexa. It also seems to be a small coverage area for Atlas. I feel like the boundaries are disproportional. I would recommend the area north of Hayden Ave., and the area West of Ramsey and south of Hayden be atlas to keep the boundary square. I also think there should be a core area that automatically goes to the magnet schools of Sorenson and Ramsey. If people that live in those core areas want to another school then they should be allowed.

Most of it makes sense, but some areas of revision are needed. My children currently attend Ramsey and will under the proposal. We are currently in the Woodland boundaries, but under the proposal would be zoned for Lakes. I think that is ridiculous! We are 1.5 miles from Woodland and most Ramsey students will attend Woodland. It makes no sense that my kids would go to Lakes. We have trails virtually all the way from the end of our street to Woodland. It doesn't make sense to bus them across town. It would also be bad from a socialization aspect as well because they would have to make new friends while attending Lakes, then wouldn't go to high school with those kids.

I think Elementary makes sense as we have a new school. I don't think it makes sense to disrupt the middle and high school boundaries until there are new schools to fill as it hurts the impacted students educational plans and relationships that have been built.

My children attend Winton Elementary School, and I'm quite happy with those boundary changes. My concern lies with the middle school boundaries. My oldest will be attending middle school next year, and we live within walking distance from Woodland middle school, in the Fairway Estates. I really feel strongly that to bus him across the city to Lakes middle school is counterproductive as he will be bussed for a considerable amount of time, instead of riding his bike or walking to the school that is quite literally in his back yard. I ask that the Woodland boundary simply be extended to Interstate 90 instead of having such a small area of our neighborhood being effected so drastically.

If it is decided that the Boundary between the two high schools is Highway 95, then it is expected that the Boundary Committee is also looking at projected growth for those two areas. Dalton Gardens is mainly comprised of 1-5 acre parcels, so there is less population growth happening, etc. On the Lake City side of 95 however, you have areas where growth is much increasing at exponential rates with the prairie apartments/sub-divisions and apartments along the river on Seltice. This also means LCHS may potentially have more of a transient population, which may mean that the district will need to consider allocating additional funds to LCHS for students who are generally more at-risk. I don't believe this is an equitable split for many reasons.

A monumental effort for all involved however Skyway and Woodland appear they will still be over capacity so from the perspective of those who are zoned for those schools what is the benefit?

NEUTRAL / UNKNOWN

You all know best. We shall see how this goes.

Interesting

I don't see how the boundaries have changed too much for Borah.

This is a big job with many things to be considered. I hope our children's well being is the biggest consideration.

The maps were clear. It is helpful to have open houses and continuing conversations within the community. Please take the feedback and incorporate it into the plan.

It still needs work but thanks for trying. Please keep us at schools that we can walk or bike to.

There is no way to make everyone happy, so please keep looking at the long term big picture.

It doesn't change anything for my address

We are considering buying a home in a new development in Hayden that isn't on the boundary review map yet. Its called Carrington Meadows. Should that development be taken into account As homes are scheduled to be competed in spring 2020.

Please consider allowing kids in the walk zone an exception to attend the school close by

Part of the Introduction of NEXA was to relieve the over populated attendance at Hayden Meadows. However, the proposed boundaries appears that it restores Hayden Meadows attendance boundaries back to Pre-NEXA. We are thankful that our son is grandfathered in. We would like to see siblings have that same privilege. It sure would make things difficult for parents for drop off and pick if not.

I would not like my kids to have to change schools. I have lived here since my 21 and 18 year old attended elementary school at Skyway. Currently I have a 2nd and forth grader attending. It would be very sad to us for them to have to change before Middle school:(

Not in favor. I would be left with a child in each high school .

Thoughtful answers.

CONCERNS ABOUT CHANGING SCHOOLS / GRANDFATHERING

I do not want my youngest son switching schools because of his 504 plan he is comfortable at his school. Switching him will only hurt his learning.

understand the growth but have to consider the mental stability of some kids and the drastic change this will affect on them because they will be going to a school where they know only a hand full of kids

opposed to knowing almost all.

Please leave those kids who are choiced in stay at the school they are currently at.

My kids are at LCHS and I expect they will be grandfathered into that school even though you changed the zone to CHS.

We have two children (2nd/3rd grade) at Ramsey. We reside in the overlay district for Ramsey (Pineridge/Fairway). The new boundary indicates our new elementary school will be Winton. My question is if our children are grandfathered into Ramsey, will we still have bus service to Ramsey? Currently our bus stop for the past four years has been in front of our house. Approx 8 other children access the bus to Ramsey from this location. Thanks!

it doesnt effect us really, my fears are grandfathering (will it hurt families whether they were allowed or not to go to the school they want, or siblings)and zoning seems far for certain houses (sherman ave students would switch to bryan instead of the fernan zone like they were, its much farther away) plus busing would be an issue as well with the wider zone areas for certain houses. All in all this doesn't change our zones for elementary and middle, so im not worried but i do worry about my kiddos missing out on friendships whether it be because their friends are rezoned or their friends are now zoned in but live far away so that it's no longer a "neighbor" style schooling. My biggest hope is that our schools figure it out enough to make sure the environment is safe and they grow in testing scores.

While the new High School boundary proposals make good sense long term, our primary concern is that we currently live in the CHS boundary but that will change to LCHS. My son will be a junior next year and plays soccer for CHS. Our hope is that he is able to remain at CHS for the duration of high school. Our wish is that the current high schoolers (especially upperclassmen) are permitted to stay at the school of their choice, with incoming freshman starting school in their designated school according to the new boundary

Concerns re my freshman at CHS and 6th grader at Canfield , Bus transportation. Zone changing to lake city and woodland. If my child needs transported to stay at CHS and Canfield for grandfathering how does that work.

It shifts my daughter just barely out of the zoning for CHS. We are currently zoned for CHS, and she is currently a sophomore and has an academic path in place coupled with an athletic plan for college. She is also taking classes for her academic path that are not offered at the LCHS, and this could gravely affect her goals and college plans. I feel kids that are in high school already for the 2019-2020 school year should be able to continue on in the same high school until graduation.

How could the district consider only grandfathering in Magnet schools and no other schools? Why do the magnet schools get such special attention? Isn't this about making change to all of the district??

A slow roll approach for Junior High and HS is essential. Forcing seniors or 8th graders to move for one year could be devastating. If people choose to stay at their old school, they can provide their own transportation just as they do now if they choose to transfer via open enrollment. For my daughter to be forced to move for 8th grade with none of her friends would be devastating and negatively impact her education.

As a student I feel it would be a big change for me to change middle schools for one year as an incoming 8th grader. I would hope that you will consider giving those students priority in choosing the school that best meets their needs for their remaining year. In my case it would not make sense for me to change schools and then change schools again to go to middle school. My younger brother is a 4th grader at Fernan STEM Academy but he is zoned to go to Borah. He would like to finish his 5th year at Fernan. Again I hope you will consider priority to those students. Also he when he reaches middle school he will end up going to Canfield because of the new boundaries but because of me talking so frequently of Lakes he really wants to go there as well. Please consider keeping the open enrollment because there are many students that could benefit from it.

Our concern at this point would be that we have an upcoming freshman in the 2020/2021 school year. As we sit right now we have a 10th grader at Lake City High School who we assume and hope will be staying there, with that said we are hoping for our 8th grader to also attend LCHS as well. Academically we as well as our daughter prefer the block schedule that LCHS runs as well as some of the academic opportunities she would have available there versus CHS. As of right now if high school boundaries do not take place until later we will have to fill out a transfer request. We have a daughter at LCHS and I am employed with the school district but are unsure of her chances of getting into the high school of our choice. For us being able to transfer our daughter is a matter of bettering her situation and starting new, getting away from an entire middle school experience of harassment.

I like the boundary line division down the 95 for the high schools, I feel like it makes more sense. Grandfathering in is a huge deal for our family. I would like my child to remain at the same high school he started at.

I am very encouraged that the committee seems receptive to the "Grandfather" option. My residence would put us in the Northwest Expedition Academy zone, but we would love the option to keep our daughter in Ramsey. She loves it there. Please keep the Grandfather option in the proposals! Thank you!

Although I understand the need for this, one of the factors that I used to choose the place where we live was based on being in the Canfield, CHS school district and now that has changed. I believe that the kids that are already in the that school path should be allowed to complete it.

I attended the "grandfather" portion of the Open House and I realized that the CDA School district board has no answers and if they do they have made decisions that they are not making public. The new boundaries will cause a tremendous amount of distress to many families and with that in mind the Board should not prolong the process. The lack of answers by the "Board" impacts families trying to make life decisions for themselves and their children. I heard several parents state that they had purchased or built their home near a specific school as they wanted their children to go to that school. Now with the new proposal that would not only not happen but their children could anticipate being sent to other schools away from their current area causing a disturbance in the children's schooling. This can and has been proven to cause long term learning issues with children. Families are being left in a state of flux and leading to a disruption in their lives. For many of these children the schools they now attend are the only "SAFE PLACE" they have and to be moved to another school will disrupt their already tenuous family life even further. I know of families who will remove their children from their current schools and send them to a charter school because they do not want them in the middle school the school district has chosen for their resident zone. One parent told me she would have to stop working, so she could accomplish this goal. If one does this how many others are thinking the same thing? How many kids/families will be disrupted because the CDA school board appears to have no other alternatives to overcrowding. This

school district should not be allowing children from other school districts to occupy this school district until the all the children of this school district are taken care off. Allowing children from Post Falls and the Silver Valley to attend this district's schools is unfair to the children as well as the taxpayer parents of this school district. P.S. As I am unsure who will read this, please pass on the the CDA School Board, If a administrative member of the district makes a statement during a meeting they should identify themselves and explain their capacity within the school district before they make any kind of statement. This would apply to the Superintendent as well as anyone who works for him in the district. It is bad form as well as rude to assume the public automatically know who you are.

we are now in CHS school district, was LCHS. CHS school is actually only 5 minutes from our house but the block schedule that LCHS has is a much better fit for my grandson. He is a freshman this year. Will we be able to continue at LCHS?

(for the question above is that our NEW "home" school or current). I understand the proposal but hope there is a waiver for Seniors (2020-2021 seniors) so kids don't have to move their final year in school.

Seems like the way it should have been from the start 20 years ago, but since it's wasn't I hope you will leave the kids in the high schools they have been attending. Especially since the credits are different for each high school.

Boundaries look good but need exemptions for kids that have attended 3 years at current school. Moving three elementary kids to a new school is not prefer. Would rather finish last two years at same elementary school and not move.

I think it makes sense. I'm more concerned about the transfer process and grandfathering policies.

I am ok with the change as long as my children are grandfathered in for the high school they are currently attending.

I would like to have more information about why the proposal was developed the way that it has been developed so that we can see the data and other assumptions made to create the proposal. I want to know how the proposal will address the issues identified by the district. I want to know how the proposal addresses Sorensen Magnet School, if there are proposed changes to the overlay. I want to know what the proposal includes in terms of grandfathering in existing students at their school. I do not think that any child should be moved from a school that they have started at unless it is by their choice. I do not think that they should need to apply for an exemption. I think that it is incumbent on the district to ask people to voluntarily move to another school. Barring that, this boundary effort should go into effect in stages so it will not affect current students.

CONCERNS ABOUT IMPLEMENTATION

I understand the need to do this, but am concerned about the transition time. I am of the belief this integration should start in a couple years. This is because there are numerous extracurricular activities, including sports, that have already started integrating the 7th and 8th graders into the high school system.

This means that all the relationships and peer support that has been established going into high school will no longer apply for some students because they have been rezoned. To bring this point home, my son has been wrestling with the Lake City HS team for two years now (he's an eighth grader). One of the things that makes the transition to HS more bearable for him is knowing he has teammates, friends, and coaches that will be there for him. These are important relationships. I think this concern can be addressed if the redistricting occurs and is implemented after it is a known fact and the new school relationships can be established. Thank you.

Makes sense to me given where the growth has been in CDA. This should have been done about 5 years ago. The growth can only move north and east. As soon as the boundaries are official, that should be the school kids attend the following semester. A slow roll makes no sense. Why would you start a student at one school knowing that you will have to move them in a year. If students have siblings currently in one of the high schools, give parent the opportunity to apply for the siblings to attend the same school. Parents must apply before the 2020 school year ends. A slow roll out will not solve the immediate overcrowding and you will end up forcing kids to move anyway. There will never be a good time to implement the new zoning in the communities eyes. Rip the band aid off and implement the zone changes this fall. Please don't drag your feet on the announcement.

The district needs to change the high school boundary zones for incoming freshman next year just as elementary boundaries are being rolled out. My family drives past CHS to get to what would be their home high school based on the old boundaries and it is twice as long to get to LCHS. The downtown incoming freshman should be able to go to the school in which they are zoned starting next year 20/21.

The proposal solves the boundary problem prematurely. Presently there is an immediate need to fill NExA. To do that, the boundaries for Atlas, Skyway, Hayden Meadows, and Winton need to be reviewed and redistributed. A long term solution for the district requires a fourth middle school. It would make sense to redistribute the district in stages, with stage one focusing on NExA, Atlas, Skyway, Hayden Meadows, and Winton, as well as a gradual roll out plan to rezone the borders of the two high schools. While a north-south border to divide the high schools is more logical than the existing boundary, using Highway 95 likely has longterm distribution problems. It is impossible to expand east of Dalton because of the mountain, and the city ordinance of Dalton itself prevents population growth. However, west of Highway 95 is growing rapidly. It bears considering moving the north-south divider to Ramsey/NW Blvd. The second rezoning stage could then take place after a fourth middle school is built. Once a fourth middle school exists, the district can focus on creating actual feeder schools from elementary school into middle schools and middle schools into high schools. If all of the boundaries are addressed immediately we will be back in this same position once a new and necessary middle school is finally built. The proposal attempts to address a lot of competing issues. Capacity should be the number one priority of our schools to address both safety and learning. While our family values socioeconomic diversity, parent choice allows us to choose a school that aligns with that value. Other families value being able to walk to school, or attend the same school as siblings, and this proposal disrupts that for many families, especially in areas that are not impacted by the addition of NExA. The proposal also seems to disproportionately impact traditional schools over magnet schools without addressing any of the socioeconomic concerns being imposed on the traditional schools. If diversity is a priority for the district, then it should be a priority for the magnet schools as well.

Regardless of boundary changes, it's crucial that nothing should happen with the high schools (and arguably middle schools) until the high schools' graduation requirements are aligned. Regardless of transfers through open enrollment or new boundary lines, it make no sense to make changes until the

high school situation is changed. It would be detrimental to the students to make changes at this time.

MAGNET SCHOOLS

I'm concerned with the grandfathering of current magnet schools, fair treatment of magnet schools and ensuring magnet schools can continue taking students interested in their "focus" through a lottery

As a parent in the community I choose Sorensen due to their values and extra curricula activities that are provided for my children at no cost. This is not the case for other schools. I would like for the magnet schools to keep their policies in place. Thank you

I'd like them to consider keeping the magnet schools in an overlay boundary vs. actual boundary.

Why is it that the magnet schools are being given special treatment? With this current proposal we are suggesting a major shift at some schools, asking those kids to disrupt whereas the magnet schools are not being asked to bend at all. We are creating a tiered system of haves and have nots. This does not reflect the community I feel we are capable of becoming, one in which equal opportunity exists for all of our children.

Confused why all schools don't have an attendance zone? If you are asking all schools to make a change they ALL should make a change? Otherwise leave the process alone. I thought the committee was supposed to help address more equity in SES, and by leaving the magnet schools as is, you are not truly addressing SES.

Reasonable boundaries. I agree with the proposal to have the Magnet Schools remain unchanged and continue drawing from existing overlay zones plus District-wide lottery. This has been a successful model in the past 5+ years and believe this would continue to support families who would like their children to have emphasis in the Sciences or Arts and Humanities.

I saw on the original proposal that the Fort Grounds was part of the Winton school district. Why was this changed back? The original springboard proposal also included an overlay area for Sorensen that was much more "normal" shaped. In the proposal at the open house it seems to encompass what appears to be very specific areas (Sanders, Mid town, 4th st, Fort Grounds) why is this? How was this overlay originally established? As the overlay stands right now and according to the percentage of students who qualify for free and reduced lunch, it appears to be negatively affecting Winton, Fernan, and Bryan. A school with a higher percentage of families who qualify for reduced lunch does not mean that the students have less supportive families but it does mean that they will have less time and/or resources to give to their school(s). I would love to hear more input from Sorensen families. The "buzz" I'm hearing around the community is that current families are strongly against changing the Overlay boundary? What are the reasonings behind this? Also how exactly does the "lottery" work? From the research I have done, this is very unclear. Is there a computer generated software system that pulls a name randomly? Does a person literally pull a name from a hat as indicated in your podcast on magnet schools?

The boundaries seem reasonable in this initial springboard. I fully support the magnet schools remaining an overlay as it allows access to the school to a wider SES demographic. 1.5 miles around Sorensen for example is all upper income housing. Making magnet schools an attendance zone would only allow the

upper income families downtown access to the school. A lottery increases diversity on many levels and pulls in families who want a specific curriculum. Please let us continue to be a part of the magnet community, by not removing the lottery/overlay.

Consider making NExA a magnet school and Ramsey a basic elementary school. This would allow for a more equitable overlay of student distribution. That way you would have Sorenson in the south and NExA in the north with Ramsey picking up it's obvious population in its area. Also the area just south of Canfield Middle cannot be expected to go down 15th street to Lakes Middle. You've got to keep these kids at Canfield.

Underwhelming. I would like to see a proposal that includes attendance zones for magnet schools. I would like the magnet schools to be included in the summary statistics so that we can review and compare the numbers with an attendance zone inclusion. The current proposal does little to change the SES disparity. Can the magnet schools help?